Interpolating between two dispersive media with FDTD adjoint calculations

Alec Hammond

As described in the Meep documentation, we can model the permittivity distribution using

$$
\epsilon(\omega, \mathbf{x}) = (1 + \frac{i\sigma_D(\mathbf{x})}{\omega})(\epsilon_{\infty} + \sum_n \frac{\sigma_n(\mathbf{x})\omega_n^2}{\omega_n^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_n}).
$$
 (1)

When interpolating between two materials, each may contain their own susceptibilities. We can weight each susceptibility using the interpolation weight u , just like we do with non dispersive media. Specifically, each piece of the above equation now becomes

$$
\epsilon_{\infty} = \epsilon_{\infty_1} + u(\epsilon_{\infty_1} - \epsilon_{\infty_0})
$$
\n(2)

$$
\epsilon_{\text{sus}} = (1 - u) \sum_{n} \frac{\sigma_n(\mathbf{x}) \omega_n^2}{\omega_n^2 - \omega^2 - i \omega \gamma_n} + (u) \sum_{m} \frac{\sigma_m(\mathbf{x}) \omega_m^2}{\omega_m^2 - \omega^2 - i \omega \gamma_m}
$$
(3)

where 0 and 1 subscripts correspond to the first and second materials respectively. We also need to create an artificial damping term needed to correct for any accidental zero crossings in the dispersion profile

$$
\sigma_D(\mathbf{x}) = u(1 - u)\bar{\omega} \tag{4}
$$

where $\bar{\omega}$ is the mean resonance frequency of all the susceptibilities.

It's important to note that ϵ_{∞} , σ_D , and σ are 3×3 tensors. We can rewrite our final interpolated permittivity function as a product of two 3×3 matrices, A and B

$$
\epsilon(\omega, \mathbf{x}) = A(\omega, \mathbf{x}, u)B(\omega, \mathbf{x}, u)
$$
\n(5)

where A is defined as

$$
A(\omega, \mathbf{x}, u) = 1 + \frac{u(1 - u)\bar{\omega}}{\omega}
$$
 (6)

and B is defined as

$$
B = \epsilon_{\infty_1} + u(\epsilon_{\infty_1} - \epsilon_{\infty_0}) + (1 - u) \sum_n \frac{\sigma_n(\mathbf{x}) \omega_n^2}{\omega_n^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega \gamma_n} + (u) \sum_m \frac{\sigma_m(\mathbf{x}) \omega_m^2}{\omega_m^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega \gamma_m}
$$
(7)

Now, let's remember that the (simplified) gradient leveraging the corresponding adjoint formulation is defined as

$$
\frac{df}{d\mathbf{u}} = -\lambda^{\mathbf{H}} C_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x}
$$
 (8)

where f is the objection function, u us once again the vector of design variables, λ is the adjoint field vector, **x** is the forward field vector, and $C_{\mathbf{u}}$ is the derivative of the linear operator C w.r.t. the design field.

In our case, the FDFD linear operator (approximated by our hybrid FDTD frequency domain adjoint solver) relates the input and output fields using the permittivity tensor $\epsilon(\omega, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$. Consequently, the derivative of said tensor w.r.t. **u** at one point u is simply

$$
C_u = \frac{d\epsilon(\omega, \mathbf{x}, u)}{du} = A \frac{dB}{du} + \frac{dA}{du} B \tag{9}
$$

We can calculate each respective derivative for A

$$
\frac{dA}{du} = \frac{(1 - 2u)\bar{\omega}}{\omega} \tag{10}
$$

and B

$$
\frac{dB}{du} = \epsilon_{\infty_1} - \epsilon_{\infty_0} - \sum_n \frac{\sigma_n(\mathbf{x}) \omega_n^2}{\omega_n^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega \gamma_n} + \sum_m \frac{\sigma_m(\mathbf{x}) \omega_m^2}{\omega_m^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega \gamma_m}.
$$
(11)

Each of these calculations will produce a final 3×3 matrix needed to produce a scalar from the two field vectors. Calculating gradients when dealing with dispersive media, therefore, requires a full vector-matrix-vector multiply at each point in space for each frequency of interest. Before, the gradient of the operator C was a constant diagonal matrix, which let us perform a simple, scaled inner product over the adjoint fields and the forward fields.